

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,390	02/12/2001	Samuel T. Labric	PF-0232-1 DIV	8952
27904 75	590 10/29/2003		EXAM	INER
INCYTE CORPORATION (formerly known as Incyte			SPECTOR, LORRAINE	
Genomics, Inc.) 3160 PORTER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			1647	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/782,390	LABRIE ET AL.				
nancery rieuen	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2003</u>.37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All rejections are maintained for reasons of record.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 16, 17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		wave d by the Evernines				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>				
10. Other:	<	Tomaine Sacto				
		Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647				